Martin Gottlieb-Schaflechner
Rüdiger Breitschwerdt
Martin Wynn

UNIVERSITY OF GLOUCESTERSHIRE

Towards a new digital platform model for information systems integration in the German healthcare industry

Agenda

- Healthcare system fundamentals
- Implications of digitalisation in healthcare
- Derivation of the research problem
- Methodological outline
- The present use case for the research project
- Discussion and next steps

Healthcare system fundamentals

- **In a narrow sense**: Implementation of agreements and organisational structures, by which health services for patients are provided, organised, financed and managed
- **In a broad sense**: Every organisational acting to tackle diseases, disabilities and other health-related risks
- The focus is on the delivery of patient-centred services, especially with regard to **(1)** inpatient and **(2)** outpatient medical care, as well as **(3)** integrated medical care

→ Apart from curative activities as the “core business”, a healthcare system also comprises other fields of activities, like, e.g., health protection, health promotion and cross-sectional management and support processes

[Myers, 1986; Schwartz & Busse, 2012; Busse & Schreyögg, 2013]

Healthcare system fundamentals (2)

- The nature and the structure of every healthcare system depend on the ethical characteristics, formal and informal structures in a certain society
- By this, such a system depends on three groups of stakeholder groups:
  - **The state** with its institutions and respective governmental mechanisms,
  - **Health service providers** and,
  - **The population of the state**, that use health services and therefore interact with service providers.

[Lameire, Joffe & Wiedemann, 1999; Saltman & Busse, 2002; Busse & Blümel, 2014]

Types of healthcare systems

[Lameire, Joffe & Wiedemann, 1999]



Ideal types, typically implemented as hybrid forms

The German healthcare system

- Based on the Bismarck model
- Actors are assigned to one of three layers:
  - **Macro level**
  - **Meso level**
  - **Micro level**

- Distinctive feature: **corporatist self-governance**



[Busse & Blümel, 2014, p. 18]

Implications of Digitalisation in healthcare

- By using new technologies, existing branches like healthcare will turn into new, digitalised ecosystems
- **Implications for participating actors (except):**

[Valentine & Stewart, 2015; Brynolfsson & Kahin, 2000; Rouse, 2017; Pagani & Pardo, 2017; Arthur, 2011; Iansiti & Levien, 2004, p. 148]

Platform ecosystems as a technical realisation

- Digital platforms work as a central **information mediator** to enable and support the exchange of information, products and services
- By integrating single actors and enterprise networks through platforms, value creation is promoted
  - The more actors a digital platform ecosystem has, the higher value creation of the platform is, as an increasingly bigger network provides more possibilities for developing innovations

The implementation of platform-based ecosystems contributes to value creation across industries and beyond

[Iansiti & Levien, 2004; Bouwman, Haaker & De Vos, 2008; Gawer & Cusumano, 2014; Pierce, 2009; Jacobides, Genmano & Gawer, 2018]

Identification of the research gap

- The German healthcare system is a regulated market with a mix of public and private service providers, cross-sectional functions and a moderate level of patient sovereignty
- In contrast to more centralised systems, it is structured in a federal, decentralised way with different actors on a municipal, regional and national level, as well as different roles and responsibilities

How can overarching interoperability be promoted and enabled, based on harmonised interfaces and common processes and structures?



Identification of the research gap (2)

- Recent contributions put emphasis on profit-oriented, private-sector enterprises

Only little knowledge exists about platform ecosystems and the particularities of the healthcare sector and its field of tension



[Tsujimoto, Kajikawa, Tomita & Matsumoto, 2018]

Identification of the research gap (3)



[Taylor, Morris, & Tieman et al., 2015]

Example: eHealth Architecture model (ISO TR 14639: 2014)

- Defines a collection of typical building blocks digital health
- Does not provide further information on interdependencies between these building blocks and the underlying business logic

How to address the research gap?

Methodological outline



[Saunders et al., 2019]

Constructivist paradigm

Primarily inductive approach to theory development

Exploratory mixed methods design

Case study strategy

Cross-sectional time horizon

Design Science Research for Information Systems (Hevner et al., 2004)

Methodological outline (2)



[Johannesson & Perjons, 2021, p 79]

- Identification of existing requirements
- Complement these requirements via semi-structured interviews
- Design a model based on the elaborated body of knowledge
- Present a first iteration of the model
- Conduct a quantitative survey to validate the model's characteristics
- Revise the model based on the survey
- Provide a second, revised iteration of the model
- Discuss the results and the way ahead

The present use case: Bundeswehr Medical Service

Patient care



[bundeswehr.de]

- Management and administration
- Health protection and health promotion
- Professional training
- Research and development
- Further cross-sectional functions

Civil health care

Discussion and next steps

- This research project aims to design and to validate a new digital platform model for information systems integration in the German health care system
- It aims to provide a comprehensive insight through using a model-based approach, to determine how IS can be integrated into different sectors within the health care system
- In order to create such an artefact, the concept of Design Science Research for information systems is utilised
- <u>Further steps:</u>
  - Identify key concepts to create the outline for conducting semi-structured interviews
  - Choose a suitable, model-based methodology to design the result artefact

Thank you!

Martin Gottlieb-Schaflechner
M.Sc. MBA
University of Gloucestershire
The Business School

martingottlieb-schaflechner@connect.glos.ac.uk

References

- Arthur, W. B. (2011). The second economy. *McKinsey Quarterly*, *4*(1), 90-99.
- Bouwman, H., de Vos, H., & Haaker, T. (Eds.). (2008). *Mobile Service Innovation and Business Models*. Berlin, Heidelberg: Springer Verlag.
- Busse, R., & Blümel, M. (2014). Germany. Health system review. *Health Systems in Transition*, 16 (2), 1-296.
- Busse, R., & Schreyögg, J. (2013). Management im Gesundheitswesen – Eine Einführung in Gebiet und Buch. In *Management im Gesundheitswesen* (pp. 1-9). Berlin, Heidelberg: Springer Verlag.
- Brynjolfsson, E. & Kahin, B. (2000). *Understanding the Digital Economy: Data, Tools, and Research*. Cambridge, MA: MIT Press.
- Gawer, A., & Cusumano, M. A. (2014). Industry platforms and ecosystem innovation. *Journal of Product Innovation Management*, *31*(3), 417-433.
- Hevner, A., March, S. T., Park, J., & Ram, S. (2004). Design Science in Information Systems Research. *MIS Quarterly*, *28*(1), 75–105.
- Iansiti, M., & Levien, R. (2004). *The Keystone Advantage: What the New Dynamics of Business Ecosystems Mean for Strategy, Innovation, and Sustainability*. Boston: Harvard Business School Press.
- Jacobides, M. G., Cennamo, C. & Gawer, A. (2018). Towards a theory of ecosystems. *Strategic Management Journal*, *39*(8), 2255-2276.
- Johannesson, P., & Perjons, E. (2021). *An Introduction to Design Science* (2nd edition). Springer International Publishing. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-030-78132-3
- Lameire, N., Joffe, P., & Wiedemann, M. (1999). Healthcare systems—an international review: an overview. *Nephrology Dialysis Transplantation*, *14*(suppl_6), 3-9.
- Myers, B. A. (1986). Social policy and the organization of health care. *Maxcy-Rosenau Public health and preventive medicine*. Norwalk, Appleton-Century-Crofts, 1639-1667.
- Pagani, M., & Pardo, C. (2017). The impact of digital technology on relationships in a business network. *Industrial Marketing Management*, *67*, 185-192.
- Pierce, L. (2009). Big losses in ecosystem niches: How core firm decisions drive complementary product shakeouts. *Strategic Management Journal*, *30*(3), 323-347.
- Rouse, M. (2017). *Definition – digital economy*. Retrieved from: https://searchcio.techtarget.com/definition/digital-economy
- Saltman, R. B., & Busse, R. (2002). Balancing regulation and entrepreneurialism in Europe's health sector: theory and practice. In *Regulating entrepreneurial behaviour in European health care systems* (pp. 3-52). Buckingham: Open University Press.
- Saunders, M. N., Lewis, P., Thornhill, A., & Bristow, A. (2019). Chapter 4: Understanding research philosophy and approaches to theory development. In M. N. Saunders, P. Lewis, & A. Thornhill (Eds.), *Research Methods for Business Students* (8th ed., pp. 128–172). Pearson.
- Schwartz, F. W., & Busse, R. (2012). Denken in Zusammenhängen: Gesundheitssystemforschung. In *Public Health* (pp. 555-582). Urban & Fischer.
- Taylor, A., Morris, G., Tieman, J., Currow, D., Kidd, M., & Carati, C. (2015). Building an architectural component model for a telehealth service. *E-Health Telecommunication Systems and Networks*, *4*(03), 35.
- Tsujimoto, M., Kajikawa, Y., Tomita, J., & Matsumoto, Y. (2018). A review of the ecosystem concept - Towards coherent ecosystem design. *Technological Forecasting and Social Change*, *136*, 49-58.
- Valentine, E., & Stewart, G. (2015). Enterprise business technology governance: Three competencies to build board digital leadership capability. In *2015 48th Hawaii International Conference on System Sciences* (pp. 4513-4522). IEEE.

Picture credits:

- https://www.bundeswehr.de